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Abstract—The aim of this study was to compare and verify the agreement of maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2 max}) values obtained from tests on land and in water. Twelve recreational water polo players (30.5 ± 7.7 years; 79.2 ± 7.2 kg body mass; 179.1 ± 5.9 cm height) were assessed in two phases: (1) in laboratory with maximal test on a cycle ergometer and (2) in a swimming pool with maximal test in eggbeater kick. Maximum values obtained in the two tests (respectively, cycle ergometer, and eggbeater kick: VO\textsubscript{2 max} = 40.2 ± 2.7 ml.kg\textsuperscript{-1}.min\textsuperscript{-1} and 38.4 ± 5.7 ml.kg\textsuperscript{-1}.min\textsuperscript{-1}; RER = 1.17 ± 0.08 and 1.19 ± 0.12; HR\textsubscript{max} = 181.4 ± 11.7 bpm and 179 ± 11.7 bpm; IEP = 20 and 20) did not show significant differences. According to the Bland–Altman analyses, there were acceptable limits of agreement between the two tests (land and water). Therefore, it can be concluded that the eggbeater kick test is a specific and valid protocol to assess VO\textsubscript{2 max} in water polo players.
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Introduction

Water polo is an invasion sport played with two teams of thirteen players each, consisting of seven fielders and six reserves\textsuperscript{1,2}. One common technique used by water polo players include the eggbeater kick, which is a propulsive movement of the lower limbs, also used in synchronized swimming\textsuperscript{1}. The skill required in the eggbeater kick in water polo allows the players to (1) remain in the vertical position, (2) receive or execute a pass, (3) shoot for a goal, (4) move in the field, (5) block the opponent, and (6) use the upper body to move upward\textsuperscript{3,4}. Specifically, it is a movement of cyclical action performed by the hips, knees, and ankles, with the movements of the right and left lower limbs in opposite directions in the cycle. Hips, knees, and ankles move in an inverse circular direction, i.e., the left leg moves clockwise and the right counter-clockwise\textsuperscript{5}. During a match, the players perform the eggbeater kick when in a vertical position. Analyses of water polo matches indicated that the total time spent in the vertical position ranges between 55 and 66.9% of the match\textsuperscript{6,8}.

In order to perform any type of sport or activity, it is necessary to use energy which comes from different metabolic pathways. Among the assessments of metabolic capabilities, the maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2 max}) is considered a good indicator of the ability of a person to perform a task. The VO\textsubscript{2 max} correct identification is critical in performance analysis for further prescription and for appropriate training\textsuperscript{9}. According to Aleksandrovči\textsuperscript{10}, there is a paucity of information regarding physiological capacity and specific motor skills, such as eggbeater kick, of the players. One possible reason for this may be due to the difficulties imposed by the aquatic environment in obtaining appropriate physiological parameters, such as the VO\textsubscript{2 max}.

Usually the VO\textsubscript{2 max} is identified in tests performed on treadmills, cycle ergometers, or other equipment that allows for control of the load imposed on the subject assessed. In general, several protocols are used to ensure the results are valid, objective, and reproducible\textsuperscript{6,11}. However, specific protocols to assess the VO\textsubscript{2 max} in water polo players, performing the eggbeater kick, have not been found, except those used in swimming tests\textsuperscript{12}. Specifically, Lobenius\textsuperscript{13} compared a maximum eggbeater kick test and cycle ergometer test to investigate the validity of a newly developed eggbeater kick test in eight synchronized swimming athletes (20.8 ± 3.4 years; 62.3 ± 6.2 kg; 9.4 ± 5.0 years training). During the eggbeater kick test, the athletes: (a) used both arms for sculling; (b) kept their shoulders above the surface; (c) wore a diving belt where the load increased every 30 seconds; and (d) had their VO\textsubscript{2 peak} measured every 15 seconds. The VO\textsubscript{2 peak} was higher during the eggbeater kick test when compared with the cycle ergometer test and the range of differences between the two tests was large. Furthermore, there was correlation between the tests. It was concluded that the eggbeater kick test could also be a specific test for synchronized swimmers, which enables them technically, physiologically, and psychologically to reach higher values during the test. Nevertheless, there is not information for both tests in reference to load, cadence, and exhaustion time in Lobenius’s study\textsuperscript{13}.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to compare and to verify the agreement between two different maximal protocol tests in water polo players.

Methods

This study included twelve water polo state/recreational level players with a minimum of two years’ experience in the sport. The players were training normally two to three times per week for 90 minutes during the test period. All participants
were informed about the objectives and protocols of this study through a Term of Consent. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University where the study was conducted (22286613100005347).

The cycle ergometer test was performed in the laboratory (room temperature controlled and maintained at 21°C) and the eggbeater kick test in a 25 m swimming pool (1.90 m deep, heated water temperature of approximately 29°C) during the players’ training season. The procedures were divided into two phases:

First Phase

Each player was received in the laboratory where the characteristics of the sample were obtained (wearing shorts and t-shirt): water polo time experience, match position, age, and anthropometric data (skin folds, weight, and height). The physiological variables were measured (VO₂, RER, HR and IEP) at rest, and after standing in an upright position for five minutes. Next, the player warmed-up on a cycle ergometer for five minutes at a cadence of 70–80 RPM and load of 50 W, when HR and IEP were measured. The maximal cycle ergometer test was a progressive protocol with an initial workload of 100 W and increments of 25 W/minute until exhaustion, the cadence was maintained between 70–80 RPM. This test protocol has been used for cyclists and triathletes in many previous studies [14–16]. Exhaustion was identified when the players could not maintain the minimum cadence (70 RPM) or by ending the test voluntarily. In the cycle ergometer test, VO₂, RER, and HR were measured every minute and IEP was measured in the last 15 seconds of every minute.

Second Phase

After a minimum of 48 hours from the completion of the first phase, the second phase was performed in the swimming pool. The player, wearing the usual sport speedo, performed the progressive protocol in eggbeater kick. Initially, the physiological variables (VO₂, RER, HR and IEP) were measured at rest after five minutes in a standing position, and subsequently also at rest by standing upright in the water for five minutes on a pool deep reducer to further investigate the possible effects of immersion on the variables. On the pool deep reducer, the player maintained the water surface between xiphoid process and shoulders. The player then warmed-up for five minutes performing a low-intensity eggbeater kick with both hands in a sculling motion. Following the warm-up, the player left the pool to place two adhesive tape marks (approximately 4 cm² each) on the acromion process on each shoulder, and to put on the load belt (in the belt there were four pockets, two in front and two in the back for load placement). The second phase test consisted of the player performing the eggbeater kick while maintaining the shoulder marks on the water surface. During the test, the player performed with one arm in sculling and the other held outside of the water. The player could freely choose which arm, but could not change arms during the test.

The maximum eggbeater kick test was a progressive protocol beginning with a 1 kg load and every minute it was increased by 0.5 kg until exhaustion. VO₂, RER, and HR were measured continuously throughout the test. The IEP was collected in the last 15 seconds of every minute. To identify the test cadence, a trained researcher used a manual chronometer (Seiko S140, resolution de 1/100) to control the cycles of eggbeater kick. The cadence was measured in seconds: how long each player performed six complete cycles of eggbeater kick in the last 15 seconds of every minute. Then, the number of cycles (6) was divided by the measured time, and the result was transformed into cycles per minute. The technical criteria suggested by Homma and Homma⁴ for the eggbeater kick’s performances (keep the highest knees possible and near the surface of the water, keep the heel closest the hip) were controlled. The exhaustion level was identified when the player could not maintain the shoulder marks on the water surface or by voluntarily ending the test.

VO₂ and RER in phases 1 and 2

VO₂ and RER were directly measured using a mixing-box-type portable gas analyzer VO2000® (Portable Metabolic Testing System, Medgraphics, Ann Arbor, USA). Instrument calibration was performed before each assessment according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The expired gas concentrations were measured breath by breath and all of the records followed the method of average breathing rate of three respiratory cycles. The data were transferred to a computer via the Aerograph software and exported to Excel for analysis. The neoprene mask was used in both phases to block breathing from the nose. Next, individual curves between load and VO₂ and RER were plotted. RER was calculated from the obtained O₂ and CO₂ data. The O₂ analyzer type was the Galvanic Fuel Cell (range: 0–96%, accuracy: ± 0.1%), and the CO₂ analyzer was Non-Dispersive Infrared (range: 0–10%, accuracy: ± 0.2%). As it was not possible to identify a plateau in VO₂ behavior, in most of the players, VO₂ peak (VO₂peak) was used as criteria for VO₂max. Therefore, the average was calculated of the two highest VO₂peak values identified during the test on the same workload. The associated values of RER of these VO₂ values were also considered maximum values. During the land-resting (phases 1 and 2) and the water-resting (phase 2), the players stood upright for five minutes close to the metabolic gas analyzer. The measurement of metabolic variables began during the last minute in the resting position. The determination of the absolute values of VO₂ (l.min⁻¹), and relative to body mass (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) were given after the elimination of dead space, defined as the average of the values collected during the remaining period (1 min).

IEP and HR in Phases 1 and 2

The players performed two familiarization sessions with the scale 6–20 point of the Borg¹⁷ prior to the application of the protocols of this study. Prior to the trial, during training, in order to familiarize the players, the scale was presented to the
subjects in exercises at different intensities and distances, and then the subject assigned a numerical value of the corresponding scale of the IEP at the requested time. To measure the HR values at rest (last minute), warm up (pre-test, last minute), during testing (every minute), and post-test in phases 1 and 2, a Polar Electro® (Finland) Model Polar FT1 heart monitor was used. The maximal heart rate ($HR_{\text{max}}$) of each player was defined as the highest value achieved in both tests. Then, the $HR_{\text{max}}$ was considered as: (1) 220 minus age (years) to the test on a cycle ergometer, and (2) 220 minus age and minus the individual value of bradycardia, identified by subtraction between the land-resting heart rate, and the water-resting heart rate for the eggbeater test (phase 2).

Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify the normality of the data. Means, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation were calculated. Comparisons between the maximum values of the variables obtained from both tests (land and water) were performed with the Student’s t-test for paired data. The comparison between the land rest (phases 1 and 2) and the water rest (phase 2), and the comparison between cadences throughout the test in the water were performed with ANOVA for repeated measures; in this case the sphericity of the data was verified (Mauchly test). When necessary, and indicated by the degrees of freedom in the Fischer test results the Greenhouse—Geisser Epsilon Correction Factor was used. The main effects were tested with Bonferroni post hoc; statistical power was calculated and the effect size was verified with statistical $\eta^2$. The comparison between the eggbeater kick test cadences and the cycle ergometer test fixed cadences was performed with t Student simples test (cycle ergometer fixed value cadences were 70–80 RPM). The Intraclass Correlation (ICC) values between both tests outcomes were calculated. Between the maximum loads reached in the cycle ergometer test (W) and the eggbeater kick test (kg), a Pearson linear correlation test was conducted. In order to verify the agreement between the values obtained from both tests, the Bland—Altman graphical analysis was performed with the calculation of bias and limits of agreement. The significance level was $\alpha \leq 0.05$ and $p < 0.05$.

Results

Twelve state-level, recreational water polo players volunteered to take part in this study; characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. General characteristics of the sample, mean ± standard deviation (SD); n = 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>$\sum$ cutaneous folds (mm)</th>
<th>Experience time (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>179.7</td>
<td>179.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resting VO$_2$, RER, HR, and IEP in three situations: land-resting in phase 1 (pre-test in the cycle ergometer); land-resting in phase 2 (pre-test in the eggbeater kick); and water-resting in phase 2 (pre-test in the eggbeater kick) in means, SD, and coefficients of variation are shown in Table 2. In comparison of the resting values (Table 2) in the three situations, effects of immersion were evident only in the resting HR ($F_{2,22} = 5.11; p = 0.015; \eta^2 = 0.317$, power = 0.766) with lower values in the water-resting (phase 2) compared to land-resting (phase 2). The bradycardia was identified in average of $9.7 \pm 5.2$ bpm.

Table 2. Means, standard deviation, and coefficients variation of oxygen uptake (VO$_2$), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), heart rate (HR), the perceived exertion index (IEP) in resting in pre-cycle ergometer test, and pre-eggbeater kick test (land and water); n = 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle ergometer</th>
<th>Eggbeater kick</th>
<th>Eggbeater kick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land-resting</td>
<td>Water-resting</td>
<td>Water-resting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_2$ (ml. kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>74.3 ± 14.9</td>
<td>80 ± 9.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEP (points)</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means, SD, and coefficients of variation of VO$_2$$_{\text{max}}$, RER, HR$_{\text{max}}$, IEP$_{\text{max}}$ achieved from load and exhaustion time from the cycle ergometer test and eggbeater kick test are shown in Table 3. There were no differences between the maximum values of the two tests. It is assumed that VO$_2$$_{\text{peak}}$ had been reached in both (in land and water) protocols (although identified by the VO$_2$$_{\text{peak}}$) according to the criteria established by Howley$^{15}$: the percentage of the estimated maximum heart rate reached $\geq 90\%$; high value for respiratory exchange ratio, and perceived exertion index for the players reported the maximum effort. The cycle ergometer test and eggbeater kick test HR$_{\text{max}}$ achieved, respectively, 95.7 ± 5.9% and 99.9 ± 7.7% of the estimated HR$_{\text{max}}$. The Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) calculated for VO$_2$$_{\text{max}}$, RER, HR$_{\text{max}}$, and exhaustion time were, respectively, 0.997 ($p = 0.43$), 0.997 ($p = 0.50$), 0.948 ($p < 0.001$), and 0.419 ($p = 0.091$).

There was no correlation between the maximum loads achieved in both tests. In relation to the eggbeater kick test cadence, considering that there is a need to increase the movement frequency while the load was increased, Figure 1 shows the cadence in cycles per minute for three periods of the test (beginning, middle, and end). There was a significant increase in the movement frequency from the beginning to the end of the test ($F_{1,10} = 32.2, p < 0.001$; $\eta^2 = 0.746$; power = 1.0). The cycle ergometer test cadence was fixed at 70–80 RPM (permissible cadence range of this test). When comparing the beginning, middle, and end of the eggbeater kick and cycle ergometer test cadence, the eggbeater kick cadence was higher than 70 RPM in all periods (beginning: $t_{18} = 2.4; p = 0.035$; middle: $t_{18} = 4.2; p = 0.001$; and end: $t_{18} = 7.2, p < 0.001$). The eggbeater kick cadence in the beginning and the middle were
similar to the cycle ergometer cadence of 80 RPM, while the cadence at the end was higher ($t_{11} = 3.2; p = 0.007$).

Table 3. Mean, standard deviation, and coefficients variation of maximum oxygen uptake ($\text{VO}_2\text{max}$), maximum respiratory exchange ratio ($\text{RER}_{\text{max}}$), maximum heart rate ($\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$), maximum perceived exertion index ($\text{IEP}_{\text{max}}$), achieved load, and the exhaustion time in cycle ergometer and eggbeater kick tests; $n = 12$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cycle ergometer test</th>
<th>Eggbeater kick test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$</td>
<td>40.2 ± 2.7 (6.9%)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 5.7 (14.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{RER}_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.08 (6.8%)</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.12 (10.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>181.4 ± 11.7 (5.5%)</td>
<td>179 ± 11.7 (5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{IEP}_{\text{max}}$ (points)</td>
<td>20 ± 0 (0%)</td>
<td>20 ± 0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved load</td>
<td>304.1 ± 29.8 W</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.03 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(bpm)</td>
<td>(9.7%)</td>
<td>(21.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaustion time (min)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.3 (14.9%)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.9 (23.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Eggbeater kick test cadence in cycles per minute in three periods (beginning, middle, end); $n = 12$; $^* p < 0.01$ for all comparison

Bland–Altman graphical analysis, as shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4, illustrate the limits of agreement between the tests. $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ (Bias: 1.74; limits of agreement: −10.40; 13.87), RER (Bias: –0.02; limits of agreement: −0.30; 0.26), and $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ (Bias: 2.41; limits of agreement: −7.31; 12.14). Bland–Altman analyses indicate acceptable limits of agreement in the three variables. The data obtained from the two tests are considered to be in agreement as they fall within the established limits of agreement.

Figure 2. $\text{VO}_2$ values’ Bland–Altman analyses.

Figure 3. RER’s Bland–Altman analyses.

Figure 4: HR’s Bland–Altman analyses.

Discussion

Considering the specifics of water polo, especially the eggbeater kick technique, and its importance for sport performance, this study aimed to compare and to verify the agreement between water polo players’ $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ values obtained from two different protocol tests: one test performed on a cycle ergometer (land), and another test in eggbeater kick (water). In general, no differences were evident between the protocols for all analyzed parameters, low values of Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (exception for the $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$), and acceptable agreement results.

The sample characteristics of this study indicate that the participants have lower stature and body mass values than top level players. These results, in addition to prior knowledge of the assessed players, confirm that the participants of this pilot study are not elite players. Indeed, based on their time spent in training (2–3 times per week for a period of 90 minutes each), and their involvement in regional-level championships, they are considered
to be recreational players. These characteristics become relevant for the analyses of $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ values identified in this study.

Possible immersion effects are concern regarding effort tests in an aquatic environment. However, the $V_{O_{2}}$ and RER values did not change during the immersion period in this study. Similarly, a previous study compared seven men at rest and during exercise on a cycle ergometer in two situations: land and water. Significant statistical differences were found between the two situations (land $V_{O_{2}}$: 3.87 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$; at rest: 35 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$; water $V_{O_{2}}$: 3.88 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$; and cycle ergometer: 36 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$). The immersion effect on HR was visible and the results of this study are consistent with the literature regarding immersion bradycardia. Specifically, the bradycardia effect averaged 9.7 ± 5.2 bpm. In an aquatic environment, the condition of resting or exercise changes HR, and it is influenced by factors, such as body position, the immersion depth, and the water temperature. The immersion allowed greater hydrostatic pressure which facilitated the venous return that consequently maintains the same cardiac output, reducing HR, and therefore, explains the bradycardia.

The average $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ values in both tests showed lower values when compared with values proposed in the literature. Most likely, this fact is due to the sample of this study: recreational-level water polo players. The $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ values described in the literature for elite water polo players with a high-volume and intensity level of training, range between 58 and 63 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$, but these values depend on the study and the type of protocol used. The most frequent protocol found in the literature is swimming tests. Among the findings, Tsekouras found $V_{O_{2\text{peak}}}$ values of 57.9 ± 7.0 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$ in a maximum test of 400 m front crawl for water polo players. These values are similar to those found by Zaccà with swimmers using the same distance and the same method (63.5 ± 8.7 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$). Although water polo requires swimming, less than 40% of match time is spent swimming. In fact, most of the matches' time is spent in a vertical position performing the eggbeater kick; therefore, it is more appropriate to test water polo players while performing the eggbeater kick than swimming.

During the cycle ergometer test, the cadence was fixed between 70–80 RPM. In the eggbeater kick test when the load was increased, cadence was increased as well. However, the average cadence in both tests was similar in the majority of periods; the exception was the eggbeater test's end, when the players increased the eggbeater kick cadence more than 80 cycles per minute in order to keep the shoulders above the surface of the water under maximum load. Differences in cadence between the tests could be attributed to a limitation of comparison between them, mostly due to the different strategies of motor unit recruitment under different levels of cadence.

The variability between the results in the eggbeater kick test was higher than in the cycle ergometer test, probably, due to the differences in kinetic and kinematic parameters of the feet in these environments (land and water). Alberton did not find differences in $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ and IEP between treadmill tests (land) when compared to three different water aerobic exercises (water). The $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ data variability of this study could be related to the involved muscle mass during the exercises, rather than the environment in which the individual is exercising. Moreover, as the players are accustomed to training with regard to the eggbeater kick in all sessions, the progressively increased load could lead to increased variability of the task execution, that is, individual strategies of active muscle mass recruitment may be reflected in greater variability in metabolic variables of a water test.

The specific analysis of the results of correlation and agreement, when associated with the comparison results, lead to the first objective of this project:

(1) Correlation was not found between the maximum load of the cycle ergometer test (WATTS) and the maximum load of the eggbeater kick test (kg); this result can be explained by the specifics of both gestures and the responses of the loads;

(2) Low values of ICC indicate poor intraclass correlation, which could be explained by both the level of the athletes and differences between the assessed motor tasks;

(3) The Bland–Altman analysis indicated agreement, i.e., the cycle ergometer test values (land) agree with the eggbeater kick test values (water), within reasonable agreement limits. The bias values represented, respectively, for the eggbeater kick test data in 4.5% $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$, 10% RER, and 1.34% $HR_{max}$.

Therefore, such findings support the argument that the maximal oxygen uptake can be estimated in a progressive test in the eggbeater kick for water polo players with an error margin of 4.5%. This test is specific to the practiced gesture in water polo matches and respects the particularities of the aquatic environment. The different tests used by coaches and the lack of a specific and valid test makes it difficult to use and provide consistent interpretation of $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$ values found for water polo players. Tests using objective physiological measurements, often based on laboratory testing protocols, can be used to form a basis for a valid and reliable specific test for this sport. Therefore, this study was designed for the specific test for water polo, using a laboratory test as a base.

**Conclusion**

The results of the progressive protocol performed with the water performing eggbeater kick did not differ, and it presented agreement with the results obtained on land on the cycle ergometer, although low ICC values were found. More studies on this topic, beyond this pilot, with larger samples and higher-level water polo players, would aid to further understand the possibility of applying the eggbeater protocol to assess $V_{O_{2\text{max}}}$.
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